

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,670	01/22/2001	Shu Murayama	0649-0769P-SP	0649-0769P-SP 6398	
7590 03/17/2006			EXAMINER		
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			LEE, CHI HO A		
P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663		
		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/765,670	MURAYAMA ET AL.	
<u> </u>		Art Unit	
	Wellington Chin	2664	
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F	Review filed				
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will no	ot be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other: .	review is appropriate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to rur the mail date of the last Office communication, if no					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.					
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by		rithdrawn and a new Office			
All participants:		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
(1) Wellington Chin.	(3)	WELLINGTON CHIN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
(2) Andrew Lee.	(4)	PANIMEL			